Message

From: Ho, Yenhung [Ho.Yenhung@epa.gov]

Sent: 10/27/2020 10:16:41 PM

To: Natalie Nowiski [NNowiski@slb.com]; Albright, David [Albright.David@epa.gov]
CC: 'Rebecca Hollis' [rhollis@cleanenergysystems.com]; Shari.Ring@cadmusgroup.com

Subject: RE: Technical Response #2 - Inquiry

Hi Natalie,

The EPA team is available to talk with CES next Friday (11/6), between 1pm and 2pm PST. Please send an invite to David, Shari, and me if the time slot works for your team

Best regards, Calvin

Calvin Ho
Groundwater Protection Section
Water Division (WTR-4-2)
U.S. EPA Region 9
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105
415-972-3262

From: Natalie Nowiski < NNowiski@slb.com> **Sent:** Tuesday, October 27, 2020 11:35 AM

To: Albright, David <Albright.David@epa.gov>; Ho, Yenhung <Ho.Yenhung@epa.gov>

Cc: 'Rebecca Hollis' <rhollis@cleanenergysystems.com>

Subject: Technical Response #2 - Inquiry

Dear Calvin and David,

Hope you are both doing well. CES is in the process of finalizing the response to the Technical Evaluation Comments and Information Request #2, which will be submitted by October 31, 2020.

In Enclosure #1, EPA recommended that, "for each scenario, the following be identified: severity of the impact, likelihood of the event; timing of the event; avoidance measures in place to reduce the likelihood of the event; detection methods that reflect planned testing and monitoring; response personnel; and equipment." As part of the response, the attached draft of the Project Risk Registrar was prepared to address these issues.

Can you please review and confirm whether the values of the "likelihood" and "severity" are acceptable at this time, or whether EPA would prefer that the values be separated into different categories (ie. Injury/Fatality; amount of damage; impact on project, etc.) for the scenarios that are identified. Please note that the analysis is preliminary given the stage of the project, and will be need to be updated, expanded upon and re-performed as more data becomes available.

Finally, would it be possible to arrange a telephone call next week to discuss questions regarding the risk assessment scenario process in general for Class VI Permitting Applications? We note that there are many different tools that can be utilized to perform these risk assessments, some which utilize a qualitative approach, and others which are quantitative or semi quantitative in nature. We were hoping to obtain further information and guidance as to which methodologies are best suited / preferred by the EPA for Class VI Permitting.

Please let me know if you have any questions or require further clarification.

Best regards, Natalie

Natalie Nowiski

CCS Business Developer and Legal Counsel

Schlumberger New Energy

w 281 285 3851 m 713 818 7507 www.slb.com

IMPORTANT NOTICE: The information contained in this communication and all attachments transmitted with it are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom the sender intended to address this communication. As this communication may contain confidential or proprietary information, please advise us immediately if you are not the intended recipient of this communication, or an employee or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient. You are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, dissemination, distribution or other use of this communication, its attachments or any of the information contained in them, and any action taken in reliance on the content of this communication or its attachments, are strictly prohibited, may be illegal under applicable law and would be done at your sole risk and liability. Schlumberger accepts no responsibility for any reliance on the content of this communication or its attachments, as well as for the proper and complete transmission of the information contained in this communication and for any delay in its receipt.